

DOCKET SILE CORV ORIGINAL

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

FCC MAIL ROOM Mid Hudson Valley Civic Association of the Deaf P.O. Box 4964, Poughkeepsie, NY 12601

April 1, 1996

FEDERAL COMMUNICATION COMMISSION 1919 M Street, NW Room 222 Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of MM Closed Captioning and Video SO Docket No.95-176 Description of Video Programming

COMMENTS OF Mid-Hudson Valley Civic Association of the Deaf

I. INTRODUCTION

The Mid-Hudson Valley Civic Association of the Deaf submits these comments to the Federal Communications Commission's(FCC's) Notice on Inquiry(NOI) on closed captioning and video description. We also wish to express our support for the comments submitted in response to this 'NOI by the National Association of the Deaf and the Consumer Action Network. We applaud the FCC on its commitment to telecommunications access for all Americans and thank the FCC for the opportunity to submit these comments.

II. BENEFITS OF CLOSED CAPTIONING

Television provides a lifeline to the world, in the form of news, information, education, and entertainment. Just as a hearing person can derive little or no benefit from watching television with the volume off, a deaf or hard of hearing person can derive little or no benefit from watching a program with no captions. Because it is so integral to one's understanding and enjoyment of video programming, captioning needs to become an integral part of the production of all video programming. A producer or video provider would not think of exhibiting a television show without its soundtrack; neither, in the future should a producer or video provider consider displaying a show without its captions.

Closed captioned Broadcasts, programs, and educational television drastically improve access to the "hearing world" many deaf people. In our community, the Mid-Hudson Valley, none of the local news broadcasts are closed captioned! Weather warnings, natural disasters, and live news broadcasts

No. of Copies rec'd CList ABCDE

are not accessible to the Deaf & Hard of Hearing viewers. It is important that deaf people are informed of Global, National, and local events, such events have a direct impact on our lives.

Other audiences can benefit from captioning as well. Research and anecdotal evidence shows that captioning has improved reading and English skills for children. illiterate adults, persons learning English as a second language, and remedial readers. In addition, captioning can help viewers understand audio portion of television programs in noisy locations such as airports, hotel lobbies, and restaurants, or in quiet ones, such as government and private offices. It helps many people who come from other countries to learn how to read thru the closed captioning, while either on business or on vacation.

III. AVAILABILITY OF CLOSED CAPTIONING

Most of the top 25 basic cable stations caption little or none of their programs. With the exception of CNN and USA, on average, fewer than 8% of basic cable programs are captioned. Similarly, few commercial advertisements are captioned, and hardly any coming attractions, program recaps, program previews, or station breaks are captioned, on either broadcast networks or cable stations.

In addition, most locally produced programs, including those covering news and community affairs, are not captioned. In The Mid-Hudson Valley locally produced shows such as town hall meetings, governmental hearings, or local community college educational ty programs, are not captioned.

IV. FUNDING OF CLOSED CAPTIONING

The Commission is correct when it states that the federal government has played an important historical role in the funding of captioning. For example, the Department of Education has contributed significant funds directly to network broadcasters for the captioning of syndicated programming. Because the Telecommunications Act of 1996 now mandates captioning, video providers and owners will soon be responsible for funding their own captioning. We support redirecting federal funds that are still available to funding research for improved captioning technology, providing subsidies for programmers that can show undue burden, and providing seed money for the captioning of programs by low budget programmers and video program owners.

V. QUALITY

The quality of closed captions varies considerably and affects the ability of the viewers to enjoy and understand television shows.

The FCC should establish minimum standards to ensure the high quality of captioning services. We propose the following quidelines to assist in the development of such standards:

1. Individuals who depend on captioning must receive information about the audio portion of the program which is functionally equivalent to the information available through the program's soundtrack. In order to meet this standard, caption data and information contained in the program's soundtrack must be delivered intact, throughout the entire program.

Captions are intended to replace the audio portion of a program; where the Commission imposes requirements to caption particular programs, those programs should be captioned in their entirety, as should the commercials and station news segments aired during their breaks.

- 2. Requirements for proper spelling, grammar, timing, accuracy and placement of captions should be designed to achieve full access to video programming.
- 3. Captions should include not only verbal information, but other elements of the soundtrack necessary for accessibility. These must include identification of the individual who is speaking where this is unclear to the viewer, sound effects, and audience reaction.
- Captions should be provided with the style and standard which are appropriate for the particular type of programming that is being captioned. For example, often local newscasts are captioned with computer generated captioning-also known as electronic newsroom captioning. This method simply does not provide functionally equivalent video service because it misses the captioning of live interviews, sports, and weather updates, school closings, another late breaking stories which are not pre-scripted. Additionally, this method produces captions which are typically out of sync with what is being reported, lagging far behind or jumping way ahead of the anchor person's statements. For all of these reasons, the Commission should require real time captioning for local news broadcasts and all other live programming. Real time captioning uses a caption stemographer to simultaneously caption live audio programming , ensuring that viewers receive complete and up to the minute captions of all that is on the soundtrack.
- 5. Captions must be reformatted as necessary if the programs on which they have been included have been compressed or otherwise edited. Videos are frequently edited as they move from movie theaters to premium cable stations to basic cable stations to syndication. This edition process

typically entails removing frames of the video to compress it into a smaller time period. Video providers must be require to reformat captions on programs that have been edited to ensure that such captions are presented intact and in place.

- 6. Care must be taken to ensure that captioning remains intact as it moves through the distribution chain from its pot of origination to the local video provider. Often captions on programs that are initially intact either arrive scrambled or are even stripped by the time such programs reach their final cable or local network destinations. This problem can easily be remedied by requiring individuals positioned at signal monitoring station to monitor captions as they pass from a program's site of origination to local affiliates, cable providers, or other final destinations.
- 7. Open character generated announcements, such as emergency warnings, weather advisories, election results, and school closings should not obstruct or be obstructed by closed captions. Standards need to be developed to ensure the proper placement of these open scrawls.

In developing the above minimum standards, the Commissions should work closely with deaf and hard of hearing individuals and captioning services who have had first hand experience with captioning.

VI. TRANSITION

The Commission has requested comment on appropriate timetables for providing captioning of video programming. The target for any set of timetables implemented by the Commission should be 100 percent captioning of all television programs, subject to the undue burden exemptions. No category of programming should be completely exempt from the captioning requirements. We recognize, however, that a goal of 100 % captioning will not be met overnight. Accordingly, we purpose initially requiring premium cable stations to caption 100 percent of their programs within 90 days of the effective date of the FCC's rules.

We also propose that the FCC develop a set of timetables that will begin to require captioning for new programs(i.e. programs that are first published or exhibited after the effective date of the FCC's captioning regulations) within six months after the effective date of the FCC's rules. Timetables for captioning can thereafter depend on the size of the video programmer/owner (with larger programmers and owners being subject to the Commission's rules more quickly), the type of program(with news and current affairs taking first priority), and the airing time for the program(with requiring the captioning of prime time shows before other time slots). Again, although some programmers and owners may have additional time to comply with the captioning rules, the

Commission should set as its ultimate objective 100 percent captioning for all those not exempted because of an undue burden.

VII. CONCLUSION

On February 8, 1976, Fresident Clinton signed the Telecommunications Act of 1996 into law. For the first time in our nation's history, that law mandates the provision of closed captioning for nearly all television programming. The Conference Report accompanying this Act states that it is "the goal of the House to ensure that all Americans ultimately have access to video services and programs, particularly as video programming becomes an increasingly important part of the home, school, and workplace." Conf. Rep. No 104-458.104th Cong.,2nd Sess. (1996) at 183-4. In keeping with this goal, the FCC initiated this NOI so that it could gather the information needed to promulgate comprehensive regulations on video captioning. We thank the FCC for doing so, and urge the Commission to complete this proceeding and issue captioning rules in an expedited fashion.

Respectfully submitted:

Gwdon E. Miller, President

Caun a. I Tenders

Edith L. Hotchkiss, Vice President

Cinda K. Lautenschlegar, Secretary

Mid-Hudson Valley Civic Association of the Deaf

P.O. Box 4964

Poughkeepsie, N.Y. 12601

(914) 463-6542 (TDD)